



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/760,544

01/21/2004

Hyung-sok Yeo

249/443

8654

27849 7590 08/14/2008

LEE & MORSE, P.C.  
3141 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE  
SUITE 500  
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042

EXAMINER

MALLARI, PATRICIA C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3735

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/14/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/760,544	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YEO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PATRICIA C. MALLARI	<b>Art Unit</b> 3735	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PATRICIA C. MALLARI. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Janet Robbins. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takara, Schmid.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Page 5 of the Office action filed 8/6/08 states that claims 1, 2, and 16 are rejected as being unpatentable over Takara in view of Schmid, but does not specifically identify claim 2 in the body of the claim. The examiner and the applicants' representative clarified that "Claims 1, 2, and 16" on page 5 should read "Claims 2, 3, and 16", and that the remainder of that paragraph addresses claim 2. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patricia C. Mallari/ Examiner, Art Unit 3735	
--	--